

NY-602 Orange County Housing Consortium

Renewal Project Scoring Policy

Purpose: Except for HMIS and the COC Planning Coordinator, all current projects and newly developed PSH beds have been dedicated to individuals and families who are chronically homeless. All PSH turn-over beds are prioritized for the Chronically Homeless as well. Annually the OCHC evaluates the performance of all projects based on established OCHC performance measures to: ensure compliance with HUD requirements; to preserve funding for high performing projects and; when necessary, shift investments from lower performing projects which are at risk of losing HUD funding to new projects that help advance our community's goal of reducing homelessness and which are alligned with HUD priorities.

The scoring criteria has been developed by the OCHC membership to conform to HUD's policy priorities and have been formulated to be standard, transparent and fair for all projects which for our COC are exclusively Permanent Supportive Housing.

Policy: It is the policy of the Orange County Housing Consortium to score all COC program projects which intend to apply for renewal funding prior to the submission of the renewal applications and the final ranking of COC projects.

Procedure:

- Upon announcement of the COC Program Competition the OCHC Board President requests of each funded project a Letter of Intent to Renew to be submitted with the most recent APR for the project.
- The Executive Committee of the Board appoints a non-conflict Scoring/Ranking Committee comprised of Homeless/COC Subject Matter Expert Stakeholders.
- The Committee, utilizing the Renewal Evaluation Scoring Instrument (see attached) and the respective APR, scores each project for renewal and ranks them in descending order,
- The preliminary score results and rankings are sent to all renewal projects who are requested to put into writing any grievance to the Board President within 24 hours of receipt.
- The Executive Committee will meet with representatives of a project which has submitted a grievance to ensure that the scoring was performed accurately.

2016 Renewal Evaluation and Scoring (Based on most recent APR)

Agency _____ Project _____ Reviewer _____ Date _____

	Evaluation Criteria	Unit Type	Source	2016 Benchmark.	2016 Points	Scoring	Actual
	Performance						
1	Occupancy Quarterly Point-In-Time Unit Utilization	Average PIT utilization	APR	90%	5	90%>=5 80-89=3 Below 80=0	
2	At least one Adult Participant per household with previous residence that indicates qualified literal homelessness	All Adults	APR	100%	5	100% only	
3	At least one participant per household has a disability	All Participants	APR	100%	5	100% only	
4	Percentage of adult leavers who gained or increased <u>earned income</u> from entry to exit	Adults-Leavers	APR	25%	5	25%>=5 15-24=3 Below 15=0	
5	Percentage of all adult participants who gained or increased <u>earned income</u> from entry to exit/follow up (leavers and stayers)	All Adults	APR	25%	5	25%>=5 15-24=3 Below 15=0	
6	Percentage of all adult leavers who gained or increased other (<u>non-employment</u>) income from entry to exit	Adults-Leavers	APR	35%	5	35%>=5 25-34=3 Below 25=0	
7	Percentage of all adult participants who gained or increased <u>other income</u> (non-employment) from entry to exit/follow-up (leavers and stayers)	All Adults	APR	35%	5	35%>=5 25-34=3 Below 25=0	
8	Percentage of all adult participants with <u>earned income</u>	All Adults	APR	25%	5	25%>=5 15-24=3 Below 15=0	
9	Percentage of all adult participants with <u>other income</u> (non-employment)	All Adults	APR	85%	5	85%>=5 75-84=3 Below 75=0	
10	All adult participants with <u>non-cash</u> benefits (health insurance, food stamps, etc.)	All Adults	APR	90%	5	90%>=5 80-89=3 Below 80=0	
11	Percentage of all participants who remain in PSH or exited to permanent housing	All Participants	APR	90%	8	90%>=8 80-89=4 Below 80=0	
12	Percentage of all leavers who exited to shelter, streets or unknown	Participants-Leavers	APR	Less than or equal to 10%	5	10%<=5 15%<=3 16%>=0	
13	Spending on last year's HUD Grant	N/A	APR	100%	7	100%=7 90-99=3	

						90=0	
	Performance total score				70		
	Consumer surveys						
14	Consumer Surveys - Response Rate	All Adults	CS	35%	5	35%>=5 25-34=3 Below 25=0	
15	Consumer Surveys - Results	N/A	CS	50 Points	5	50>=5 40-49=3 >40=0	
	Consumer Surveys total score				10		
	Compliance						
16	Match equals or exceeds statutory requirement	N/A	APR	100%	5	100% Only	
17	Monitoring - HUD findings	N/A	Agency	No Findings	5	No=5 Minor=3	
18	Environmental Review documentation within five years	N/A	Agency	< = 5 years	No Score	No Score	
19	HUD Drawdown within 90 days	N/A	Agency	<90 days	5	<90=5 <105=3 >105=0	
	Compliance total score				15		
	HMIS						
20	HMIS Universal Data Elements null or unknown	All Participants	APR	<=5%	5	5>=5 10>=3 >10=0	
	HMIS total score				5		
	Penalty for lateness on APR, Provider Report or Consumer Satisfaction. Applied 10 pts per deadline missed						
	Grand Total				100		